

United States Patent and Trademark Office

7

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,463	09/11/2003	Esa Maatta	915-006.023	4945
4955 75	0 11/03/2005		EXAMINER	
WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS &			LEE, JINHEE J	
ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN BUILDING 5			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468			2831	
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/660,463	MAATTA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jinhee J. Lee	2831				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Se	eptember 2005 and 29 August 20	<u>05</u> .				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3)⊠ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		·				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7-11,13-15,17-26 and 28-31</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	·					
	7) Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7-11,13-15,17-26 and 28-31</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	-					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>	ori-ority and the OF H O O O 440/)	(1) (6)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	* '					
application from the International Bureau		d III tilis National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa 6) ☒ Other: <u>allowable sub</u>	atent Application (PTO-152) ject matter.				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1, 3, 5, 9-11, 13, 15, 19-20, 22, 24, 26 and 30-31 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1 line 4, claim 11 line 4, and claim 22 line 4, the phrase "at least a hinge body" has an error. Examiner suggests "at least one hinge body" instead to correct the error.

Claim 1 line 4, claim 11 line 4, claim 22 line 4, the phrase "the two casing parts" has an error. Examiner suggests "the at least two casing parts" instead to correct the error.

Claim 1 line 5, Claim 1 line 11, Claim 1 line 16, claim 11 line 5, claim 11 line 11, claim 11 line 16, Claim 22 line 5, Claim 22 line 11, Claim 22 line 16, the phrase "the hinge body" has an error. Examiner suggests, "the at least one hinge body" instead to correct the error.

Claim 1 line 5, claim 11 line 5, claim 22 line 6, the phrase "and second end" has an error. Examiner suggests "and a second end" instead to be consistent.

Claim 1 line 8, claim 11 line 8, claim 22 line 8, the phrase "different casing parts" has an error. Examiner suggests "different one of the at least two casing parts" instead to correct the error.

Claim 1 line 9, Claim 1 line 18, Claim 5 line 3, Claim 5 line 4, Claim 9 line 2, Claim 10 line 2-3, Claim 11 line 9, Claim 11 line 18, Claim 15 line 3, Claim 15 line 4, Claim 19 line 2, Claim 20 line 2-3, Claim 22 line 9, Claim 22 line 18, Claim 26 line 3,

Application/Control Number: 10/660,463

Art Unit: 2831

Claim 26 line 4, Claim 30 line 2-3, Claim 31 line 3, the phrase "said hinge body" has an error. Examiner suggests, "said at least one hinge body" instead to correct the error.

Claim 1 line 10, claim 11 line 10, claim 22 line 10, the phrase "the first casing part" has an error. Examiner suggests "first of the at least two casing parts" instead to correct the error.

Claim 1 line 11, claim 11 line 11, claim 22 line 11, the phrase "the second casing part" has an error. Examiner suggests "second of the at least two casing parts" instead to correct the error.

Claim 1 line 14, claim 11 line 14, claim 22 line 15, the phrase "the two casing parts" has an error. Examiner suggests "the at least two casing parts" instead to correct the error.

Claim 1 line 16, claim 11 line 16, claim 22 line 16, the phrase "when casing parts" has an error. Examiner suggests "when the at least two casing parts" instead to correct the error.

Claim 1 line 16, claim 11 line 16, claim 22 line 16, the phrase "said pivot angles" has an error. Examiner suggests, "said individual pivot angles" instead to correct the error.

Claim 3 line 1, claim 13 line 1, claim 24 line 1, the phrase "each pivot axis" has an error. Examiner suggests "each of said pivot axis" instead to correct the error.

Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-11, 13-15, 17-26 and 28-31 are allowable.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,463

Art Unit: 2831

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Re claims 1, 3-5, 7-11, 13-15, 17-26 and 28-31, prior arts do not teach or suggest the combination of a device with at least one hinge body component that is unitary and fixed relative to the at least two casing parts with said at least one hinge body component pivotally attached via a first bracket from said one end of the hinge body component to first of the at least two casing parts and via a second bracket from said second end of the at least one hinge body component to second of the at least two casing parts, wherein when the at least two casing parts are moved along said individual pivot angles, the at least one hinge body component remains fixed relative to the casing parts.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments and amendments filed 8/29/05 have been fully considered and finds the argument along with the amendments to the claim persuasive.

Conclusion

4. This application is in condition for allowance except for the formal matters as set forth above.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex* parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2831

Moritz et al. ('283), Moritz et al. ('579), Zanolla et al. and Moritz are cited to show various components of a link guiding devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jinhee J. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1977. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, Th and F at 6:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A. Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jako L

jjl